



Afterschool Alliance

UNCERTAIN TIMES: FUNDING INSECURITY PUTS AFTERSCHOOL PROGRAMS AT RISK

In August and September of 2006, afterschool programs from across the country responded to questions about their funding sources, services they provide, youth they serve, and plans and prospects for the future. As of September 20, 2006, 2,614 responses were reviewed. 503 responses did not provide sufficient data to be included in the final analysis or were duplicate responses; therefore the final sample was 2,111 responses. In early September the Afterschool Alliance released a preliminary summary of data from the first 1,628 valid responses. This release includes updated data as well as responses to questions not included in the first wave.

Which of the following best describes your program's capacity during the 2005-2006 school year? (check one)

- 25% We operated above maximum capacity and served more students than we had budgeted to serve.
- 50% We operated at maximum capacity.
- 25% We operated below maximum capacity and served fewer students than we had budgeted to serve.

Number of respondents: 2108 (99.9%)

How would you characterize the community that your afterschool program serves?

- 34% Rural
- 24% Suburban
- 42% Urban

Number of respondents: 2102 (99.6%)

Including the 2005-2006 school year, how many years has your program been in operation?

- 6% 0-1
- 10% 1-2
- 11% 2-3
- 10% 3-4
- 11% 4-5
- 22% 5-10
- 31% 10+

Number of respondents: 2110 (100%)

What is the primary affiliation of your program?

- 20% Nationally Affiliated Organization

- 30% Community-Based Organization
- 35% School-Based Organization
- 1% Library/Museum
- 4% Faith-Based Organization
- 3% Private for-profit
- 6% Other

Number of respondents: 2110 (100%)

What percentage of program participants qualified for the federal free or reduced lunch program? (check one)

- 3% none
- 4% 1-5%
- 3% 6-10%
- 3% 11-15%
- 3% 16-20%
- 4% 21-30%
- 5% 31-40%
- 6% 41-50%
- 6% 51-60%
- 8% 61-70%
- 10% 71-80%
- 12% 81-90%
- 25% 91-100%
- 10% Unknown

Number of respondents: 2110 (100%)

What percentage of program participants were Limited English Proficient?

- 33% none
- 27% 1-5%
- 8% 6-10%
- 4% 11-15%
- 5% 16-20%
- 4% 21-30%
- 4% 31-40%
- 3% 41-50%
- 2% 51-60%
- 2% 61-70%
- 2% 71-80%
- 1% 81-90%
- 2% 91-100%
- 5% Unknown

Number of respondents: 2110 (100%)

What percentage of program participants had special needs/disabilities?

- 9% none

40%	1-5%
17%	6-10%
9%	11-15%
8%	16-20%
6%	21-30%
3%	31-40%
1%	41-50%
1%	51-60%
0.8%	61-70%
0.3%	71-80%
0.3%	81-90%
0.6%	91-100%
4%	Unknown

Number of respondents: 2110 (100%)

During the 2005-2006 school year, what types of activities did your program offer youth? (Check all that apply)

92%	Arts/Music/Cultural Activities
91%	Homework Help
84%	Reading
77%	Science, Math and/or Technology
56%	Services for Parents and Families
68%	Community Service
64%	Obesity Prevention/Nutrition/Athletics/Recreation
61%	Mentoring
49%	Drug, Alcohol or Tobacco prevention programs
45%	Violence prevention programs
31%	Language and/or ESL services
31%	Career and/or College prep
25%	Services for Children with Disabilities
20%	Other
16%	Pregnancy prevention programs
15%	Mental Health Services
12%	Medical and/or Dental Services

Number of respondents: 2106 (99.7%)

In addition to after school, did your program offer services during the following times? (check all that apply)

48%	Holidays and/or inter-session
36%	Before school
27%	Weekends
28%	None of the above

Number of respondents: 2107 (99.8%)

Did you offer a summer program?

81%	Yes
-----	-----

19% No
 Number of respondents: 2107 (99.8%)

Based on your experience, how accessible are the following sources of funding? (Please check a box for each funding source.)

	Not Accessible	Somewhat Accessible	Accessible	Very Accessible	Don't Know
21st Century Community Learning Centers	22%	15%	20%	19%	25%
Supplemental Educational Services	24%	15%	9%	3%	49%
Safe and Drug Free Schools and Communities	21%	17%	13%	4%	45%
All other Federal Education Grants (School Improvement, etc.)	23%	20%	10%	2%	45%
Child Care and Development Block Grant	24%	14%	10%	4%	49%
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)	24%	13%	11%	4%	49%
State government (D.O.E., D.O.J., D.C.F., etc.)	19%	20%	15%	5%	41%
Local government (City, County)	24%	20%	18%	8%	31%
School District	28%	21%	16%	8%	27%
Corporation/Business	17%	29%	20%	7%	28%
Foundation/Philanthropic organization	17%	23%	20%	9%	30%
Faith-based organization	28%	17%	11%	4%	41%
College/University	33%	14%	8%	3%	42%
Parent Fees	25%	16%	20%	22%	17%

Number of respondents: varied (88%)

How has your funding changed over the last 2 years? (check one)

- 25% Funding is down a lot
 - 23% Funding is down a little
 - 30% Funding is unchanged
 - 17% Funding is up a little
 - 5% Funding is up a lot
- Number of respondents: 1856 (88%)

What were your sources of Federal funding? (Check all that apply)

[NOTE: Some of these funds, such as the 21st Century Community Learning Centers grant, are awarded through the state but are actually federal funds. Please check the appropriate box here under federal funding and do not include the same funding source in question 28 as a state source of funding awarded through the agency that administers these federal funds.]

- 43% 21st Century Community Learning Centers
 - 36% None
 - 20% Other
 - 8% Child Care and Development Block Grant
 - 8% Other Title I education funds not listed
 - 7% Supplemental Educational Services
 - 6% Safe and Drug Free Schools & Communities
 - 6% Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)
 - 3% School Improvement
 - 2% Earmark
 - 2% Innovative Programs
 - 1% Comprehensive School Reform
- Number of respondents: varied (88%)

What were your sources of State funding? (Check all that apply. Do not include federal funds such as 21st Century Community Learning Centers, Title I funds, or others included in the previous question.)

- 53% None
 - 22% Department of Education
 - 14% Department of Social/Health and Human Services
 - 14% Other
 - 10% Department of Children and Families
 - 6% Department of Justice/Juvenile Justice
 - 1% Earmark
- Number of respondents: varied (88%)

What were your sources of local or private funding? (Check all that apply. Please do not include in-kind contributions in your answer.)

- 44% Parent Fees
- 42% Private donations/donation containers/fundraisers
- 30% Foundation/Philanthropic organization

- 25% City or County funds
 - 24% Corporation/Business
 - 24% School District
 - 17% None
 - 17% Other
 - 9% Faith-based organization
 - 5% College/University
- Number of respondents: varied (88%)

If you have had to make cuts in your program due to funding loss or stagnation over the past few years, what changes have you made as a result? (Check all that apply) [Skip question if funding is up]

- 35% Added or increased fees
 - 32% Cut field trips
 - 29% Cut variety of activities offered in the program
 - 28% No changes have been made
 - 22% Increased staff/student ratio
 - 22% Reduced number of children served
 - 20% Cut professional development
 - 20% Reduced staff pay and/or benefits
 - 19% Reduced daily hours or number of days of service
 - 16% Cut transportation services
 - 9% Cut holiday and/or weekend service
 - 9% Cut summer program
 - 8% Reduced family services
 - 8% Reduced or cut food services offered
 - 7% Other
 - 6% Lowered standards or criteria for hiring staff
 - 5% Reduced school-community partnerships
 - 4% Reduced social services offered
- Number of respondents: varied (98%)

If funding increased, what changes have you made in response to your increase in funding? (Check all that apply) [Skip if funding is down]

- 73% Increased number of children served
- 56% Added variety of activities offered in the program
- 40% Increased staff pay and/or benefits
- 39% Added professional development
- 38% Added school-community partnerships
- 37% Added field trips
- 27% Added standards or criteria for hiring staff
- 25% Increased daily hours or number of days of service
- 22% Added summer program
- 20% Added family services
- 18% Added transportation services

- 17% Added or increased food services offered
 - 15% Decreased staff/student ratio
 - 14% Added holiday and/or weekend service
 - 10% Added social services offered
 - 9% Other
 - 6% Cut or decreased fees
 - 5% None. I did not receive enough new funding to make changes.
- Number of respondents: varied (98%)

In the future, if you receive more funding, what change would you be most likely to make to your program? (Check one)

- 29% Serve more children
 - 14% Increase staff pay and/or benefits
 - 10% Add variety of activities offered in the program
 - 7% Decrease staff/student ratio
 - 5% Increase daily hours or number of days of service
 - 4% Add family services
 - 4% Add professional development
 - 4% Add transportation services
 - 4% Increase school-community partnerships
 - 4% Raise standards or criteria for hiring staff
 - 3% Add field trips
 - 3% Add summer program
 - 3% Other
 - 2% Add social services offered
 - 2% Cut or decrease fees
 - 2% None. I wouldn't make any changes.
 - 1% Add holiday and/or weekend service
 - 1% Add or increased food services offered
- Number of respondents: 1827 (87%)

How secure is your funding for the next 1-5 years?

	Not Secure	Somewhat Secure	Secure	Very Secure
1-2 years	17%	36%	33%	14%
3-5 years	46%	35%	15%	5%

Number of Respondents: 1825 (86%)

Are there currently children in your community who need afterschool care and are unable to access it?

- 87% Yes
- 13% No

Number of Respondents: 1814 (86%)

In your opinion, do you consider the following items to be barriers to participation in your program or others like it in your community?

	Not a barrier	Slight barrier	Significant barrier
Cost	45%	24%	31%
Transportation	27%	31%	43%
Older youth need to work or care for younger siblings	24%	50%	26%
Lack of available slots in program	41%	30%	29%
Lack of interest	46%	43%	11%
Negative perception of program or afterschool	69%	26%	5%
Not all children in one family are able to attend afterschool	36%	46%	18%
Not enough knowledge about program or afterschool	34%	49%	18%

Number of Respondents: 1814 (86%)

Methodology: UNCERTAIN TIMES used web-based survey software and the survey was emailed to more than 10,000 contacts in the Afterschool Alliance database in August and September of 2006. Recipients were encouraged to forward the survey to afterschool program staff, and programs were asked to be certain that only one person per program completed the survey and to provide responses from a site-level coordinator when possible. 2,614 responses were reviewed. 503 of them did not provide sufficient data to be included in the final analysis or were duplicate responses; therefore the final sample was 2,111 responses which represent approximately 9,813 afterschool sites serving more than 1.5 million children. (Many respondents are responsible for multiple sites.)