

# A Summary of Missouri Data from the Uncertain Times: Funding Insecurity Puts Afterschool Programs at Risk Web-based Survey

Today, a minority of Missouri students benefit from afterschool programs while many youth in the state are unable to enroll because space is limited and funding is not keeping pace with demand. Afterschool programs provide a critical support that helps children do better in school and reassures parents that their children are safe and well cared for after the school day ends. Unfortunately, program leaders report that they are serving a high-need population; are serving more children than expected; and are struggling to maintain funding. Nearly all respondents to this web-based survey say that more children in their communities could benefit from afterschool programs, if programs were available.

54 Missouri afterschool providers took the survey. They represent 139 program sites and serve more than 16,000 children. 47% percent of respondents are located in rural communities, 28% are in urban communities, and 25% are in suburban communities. The survey finds that in Missouri during the 2005/2006 school year:

- > 80% of afterschool programs operated at or above maximum capacity.
- > 82% of respondents say that there are children in their communities who need afterschool programs and don't have access to them.
- > 70% of respondents say that funding is down a little (33%) or a lot (37%) in the last two years. Another 20% say it is unchanged. Just 10% say funding is up a little and no program operators say funding is up a lot.
- > If programs are able to see an increase in funding in the future, they are most likely to increase the number of students they serve.
- Afterschool programs in Missouri are serving a high-need population. More than half of respondents (54%) say that more than three in five students qualify for free or reduced price lunches.
- > Parent fees are the most accessible funding resource in Missouri. 51% percent of respondents rate parent fees as accessible or very accessible.
- Fewer than half (43%) of respondents feel fully secure about their funding for the next 1-2 years. Only 14% feel fully secure about their funding for the next 3-5 years.
- > Program operators in Missouri consider the top three barriers to participation in afterschool programs to be: transportation; not enough knowledge about the program or afterschool; and older youth need to work or care for younger siblings.

In sum, *Uncertain Times* finds that many afterschool programs in Missouri are fully subscribed but still unable to meet the demand, and that budget cuts and stagnation prevent them from reaching more youth and families in their communities who could benefit from afterschool.

#### **Topline Missouri Results from Uncertain Times:**

### Which of the following best describes your program's capacity during the 2005-2006 school year? (check one)

We operated above maximum capacity and served more

students than we had budgeted to serve.

48% We operated at maximum capacity.

20% We operated below maximum capacity and served fewer

students than we had budgeted to serve.

Number of respondents: 54 (100%)

## What percentage of program participants qualified for the federal free or reduced lunch program? (check one)

0% none 19% 1-30% 20% 31-60% 54% 61-100% 7% Unknown

Number of respondents: 54 (100%)

## During the 2005-2006 school year, what types of activities did your program offer youth? (Check all that apply)

100% Homework Help 94% Arts/Music/Cultural Activities 89% Reading 85% Science, Math and/or Technology 74% Community Service 67% Mentorina 65% Services for Parents and Families 63% Obesity Prevention/Nutrition/Athletics/Recreation 46% Violence prevention programs 41% Drug, Alcohol or Tobacco prevention programs 39% Services for Children with Disabilities 33% Language and/or ESL services 22% Career and/or College prep 19% Mental Health Services 17% Medical and/or Dental Services 15% Other 13% Pregnancy prevention programs

## In addition to after school, did your program offer services during

65% Before school

39% Holidays and/or inter-session

the following times? (check all that apply)

Number of respondents: 54 (100%)

26% Weekends

None of the above

Number of respondents: 54 (100%)

#### Did you offer a summer program?

70% Yes 30% No

Number of respondents: 54 (100%)

#### How has your funding changed over the last 2 years? (check one)

37% Funding is down a lot 33% Funding is down a little 20% Funding is unchanged 10% Funding is up a little 0% Funding is up a lot Number of respondents: 54 (94%)

# In the future, if you receive more funding, what change would you be most likely to make to your program? (Check one)

25%	Serve more children			
19%	Add variety of activities offered in the program			
12%	Decrease staff/student ratio			
8%	Increase staff pay and/or benefits			
6%	Add field trips			
6%	Add social services offered			
6%	Increase daily hours or number of days of service			
4%	Add summer program			
4%	None. I wouldn't make any changes.			
2%	Add transportation services			
2%	Cut or decrease fees			
2%	Increase school-community partnerships			
2%	Other			
2%	Raise standards or criteria for hiring staff			
0%	Add family services			
0%	Add holiday and/or weekend service			
0%	Add or increased food services offered			
0%	Add professional development			
Number of respondents: 49 (91%)				

#### **Funding Security for the next 1-5 Years**

	Missouri		National	
Number of respondents: 49 (91%)	Not/ Somewhat Secure	Secure/Very Secure	Not / Somewhat Secure	Secure/Very Secure
1-2 Years	57%	43%	53%	47%

3-5 Years	86%	14%	80%	20%
-----------	-----	-----	-----	-----

Methodology: UNCERTAIN TIMES used web-based survey software and the survey was emailed to more than 10,000 contacts in the Afterschool Alliance database in August and September of 2006. Recipients were encouraged to forward the survey to afterschool program staff, and programs were asked to be certain that only one person per program completed the survey and to provide responses from a site-level coordinator when possible. 2,614 responses were reviewed. 503 of them did not provide sufficient data to be included in the final analysis or were duplicate responses; therefore the final sample was 2,111 responses which represent approximately 9,813 afterschool sites serving more than 1.5 million children. (Many respondents are responsible for multiple sites.)

**Complete State Level Results Available Upon Request**