



## **A Summary of New York Data from the *Uncertain Times: Funding Insecurity Puts Afterschool Programs at Risk* Web-based Survey**

Today, a minority of New York students benefit from afterschool programs while many youth in the state are unable to enroll because space is limited and funding is not keeping pace with demand. Afterschool programs provide a critical support that helps children do better in school and reassures parents that their children are safe and well cared for after the school day ends. Unfortunately, program leaders report that they are serving a high-need population; are serving more children than expected; and are insecure about long-term sustainability. Nearly all respondents to this web-based survey say that more children in their communities could benefit from afterschool programs, if programs were available.

223 New York afterschool providers took the survey. They represent 992 program sites and more than 145,000 children. 65% percent of respondents are located in urban communities, 22% are in suburban communities, and 13% are in rural communities. The survey finds that in New York during the 2005/2006 school year:

- 80% of afterschool programs operated at or above maximum capacity.
- 83% of respondents say that there are children in their communities who need afterschool programs and don't have access to them.
- 35% of respondents say that funding is down a little (11%) or a lot (24%) in the last two years. Another 35% say it is unchanged. Just 30% say funding is up a little (24%) or a lot (6%).
- If programs are able to see an increase in funding in the future, they are most likely to increase the number of students they serve.
- More than half the respondents (56%) say that more than three in five students qualify for free or reduced price lunches.
- 21<sup>st</sup> Century Community Learning Centers and local city and county government are the most accessible funding resource in New York. 36% percent of respondents rate 21<sup>st</sup> Century Community Learning Centers, the only federal funding stream solely devoted to afterschool, and local government funding as accessible or very accessible.
- More than half (51%) of respondents feel fully secure about their funding for the next 1-2 years. Yet, only 15% feel fully secure about their funding for the next 3-5 years. Nationally, 20% of respondents feel secure about their funding for the next 3-5 years.
- Program operators in New York consider the top three barriers to participation in afterschool programs to be: transportation; lack of available slots; and older youth need to work or care for younger siblings.

In sum, *Uncertain Times* finds that many afterschool programs in New York are fully subscribed but still unable to meet the demand, and that budget cuts and stagnation prevent them from reaching more youth and families in their communities who could benefit from afterschool.

**Topline New York Results from *Uncertain Times*:**

**Which of the following best describes your program's capacity during the 2005-2006 school year? (check one)**

- 25% We operated above maximum capacity and served more students than we had budgeted to serve.
- 55% We operated at maximum capacity.
- 20% We operated below maximum capacity and served fewer students than we had budgeted to serve.

Number of respondents: 222 (99.6%)

**What percentage of program participants qualified for the federal free or reduced lunch program? (check one)**

- 4% none
- 15% 1-30%
- 10% 31-60%
- 56% 61-100%
- 15% Unknown

Number of respondents: 222 (99.6%)

**During the 2005-2006 school year, what types of activities did your program offer youth? (Check all that apply)**

- 95% Homework Help
- 94% Arts/Music/Cultural Activities
- 81% Reading
- 73% Science, Math and/or Technology
- 71% Community Service
- 64% Obesity Prevention/Nutrition/Athletics/Recreation
- 58% Mentoring
- 52% Services for Parents and Families
- 52% Violence prevention programs
- 39% Drug, Alcohol or Tobacco prevention programs
- 38% Career and/or College prep
- 31% Language and/or ESL services
- 29% Pregnancy prevention programs
- 26% Other
- 21% Services for Children with Disabilities
- 20% Mental Health Services
- 7% Medical and/or Dental Services

Number of respondents: 222 (99.6%)

**In addition to after school, did your program offer services during the following times? (check all that apply)**

- 67% Holidays and/or inter-session

34% Weekends  
 25% Before school  
 16% None of the above  
 Number of respondents: 223 (100%)

**Did you offer a summer program?**

64% Yes  
 36% No  
 Number of respondents: 222 (99.6%)

**How has your funding changed over the last 2 years? (check one)**

24% Funding is down a lot  
 11% Funding is down a little  
 35% Funding is unchanged  
 24% Funding is up a little  
 6% Funding is up a lot  
 Number of respondents: 186 (83%)

**In the future, if you receive more funding, what change would you be most likely to make to your program? (Check one)**

27% Serve more children  
 16% Increase staff pay and/or benefits  
 11% Add variety of activities offered in the program  
 5% Add field trips  
 5% Decrease staff/student ratio  
 4% Add summer program  
 4% Add transportation services  
 4% Increase school-community partnerships  
 4% Raise standards or criteria for hiring staff  
 3% Add professional development  
 3% Add family services  
 3% Cut or decrease fees  
 3% Increase daily hours or number of days of service  
 3% Other  
 2% Add social services offered  
 2% Add or increased food services offered  
 1% Add holiday and/or weekend service  
 1% None. I wouldn't make any changes.  
 Number of respondents: 186 (83%)

**Funding Security for the next 1-5 Years**

	New York		National	
Number of respondents: 186 (84%)	Not/ Somewhat Secure	Secure/Very Secure	Not/ Somewhat Secure	Secure/Very Secure

<b>1-2 Years</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>47%</b>
<b>3-5 Years</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>20%</b>

*Methodology: UNCERTAIN TIMES used web-based survey software and the survey was emailed to more than 10,000 contacts in the Afterschool Alliance database in August and September of 2006. Recipients were encouraged to forward the survey to afterschool program staff, and programs were asked to be certain that only one person per program completed the survey and to provide responses from a site-level coordinator when possible. 2,614 responses were reviewed. 503 of them did not provide sufficient data to be included in the final analysis or were duplicate responses; therefore the final sample was 2,111 responses which represent approximately 9,813 afterschool sites serving more than 1.5 million children. (Many respondents are responsible for multiple sites.)*

**Complete State Level Results Available Upon Request**