

A Summary of Pennsylvania Data from the *Uncertain Times: Funding Insecurity Puts Afterschool Programs at Risk* Web-based Survey

Today, a minority of Pennsylvania students benefit from afterschool programs while many youth in the state are unable to enroll because space is limited and funding is not keeping pace with demand. Afterschool programs provide a critical support that helps children do better in school and reassures parents that their children are safe and well cared for after the school day ends. Unfortunately, program leaders report that they are serving a high-need population; are serving more children than expected; and are struggling to maintain funding. Nearly all respondents to this web-based survey say that more children in their communities could benefit from afterschool programs, if programs were available.

120 Pennsylvania afterschool providers took the survey. They represent 299 program sites and serve more than 29,000 children. 67% percent of respondents are located in urban communities, 17% are in rural communities, and 16% are in suburban communities. The survey finds that in Pennsylvania during the 2005/2006 school year:

- > 73% of afterschool programs operated at or above maximum capacity.
- > 92% of respondents say that there are children in their communities who need afterschool programs and don't have access to them.
- ➤ 47% of respondents say that funding is down a little (25%) or a lot (22%) in the last two years. Another 33% say it is unchanged. Just 16% say funding is up a little and just 4% say it is up a lot.
- > If programs are able to see an increase in funding in the future, they are most likely to increase the number of students they serve.
- Afterschool programs in Pennsylvania are serving a high-need population. More than half of respondents (62%) said that more than three in five students qualify for free or reduced price lunches.
- ➤ Parent fees are the most accessible funding resource in Pennsylvania. 39% percent of respondents rate parent fees as accessible or very accessible. Only 25% of respondents rate 21st Century Community Learning Centers, the only federal funding stream solely devoted to afterschool programs, as accessible or very accessible.
- Fewer than half (38%) of respondents feel fully secure about their funding for the next 1-2 years. Only 12% feel fully secure about their funding for the next 3-5 years.
- > Program operators in Pennsylvania consider the top three barriers to participation in afterschool programs to be: transportation; not all children in one family are able to attend afterschool; and older youth need to work or care for younger siblings.

In sum, *Uncertain Times* finds that many afterschool programs in Pennsylvania are fully subscribed but still unable to meet the demand, and that budget cuts and stagnation prevent them from reaching more youth and families in their communities who could benefit from afterschool.

Topline Pennsylvania Results from Uncertain Times:

Which of the following best describes your program's capacity during the 2005-2006 school year? (check one)

We operated above maximum capacity and served more

students than we had budgeted to serve.

45% We operated at maximum capacity.

We operated below maximum capacity and served fewer

students than we had budgeted to serve.

Number of respondents: 120 (100%)

What percentage of program participants qualified for the federal free or reduced lunch program? (check one)

1%none15%1-30%9%31-60%62%61-100%13%Unknown

Number of respondents: 120 (100%)

During the 2005-2006 school year, what types of activities did your program offer youth? (Check all that apply)

95% Homework Help 87% Arts/Music/Cultural Activities 80% Reading 70% Community Service 70% Science, Math and/or Technology 60% Mentoring 58% Obesity Prevention/Nutrition/Athletics/Recreation 55% Services for Parents and Families 55% Violence prevention programs 53% Drug, Alcohol or Tobacco prevention programs 30% Career and/or College prep 22% Other 18% Language and/or ESL services 16% Pregnancy prevention programs 13% Services for Children with Disabilities 11% Mental Health Services

11% Mental Health Services

11% Medical and/or Dental Services

Number of respondents: 120 (100%)

In addition to after school, did your program offer services during the following times? (check all that apply)

47% Holidays and/or inter-session

29% Before school

26% Weekends

36% None of the above

Number of respondents: 120 (100%)

Did you offer a summer program?

77% Yes 23% No

Number of respondents: 120 (100%)

How has your funding changed over the last 2 years? (check one)

Funding is down a lot
Funding is down a little
Funding is unchanged
Funding is up a little
Funding is up a lot

Number of respondents: 120 (100%)

In the future, if you receive more funding, what change would you be most likely to make to your program? (Check one)

32%	Serve more children			
17%	Increase staff pay and/or benefits			
8%	Add variety of activities offered in the program			
7%	Increase school-community partnerships			
6%	Decrease staff/student ratio			
6%	Raise standards or criteria for hiring staff			
4%	Add transportation services			
4%	Increase daily hours or number of days of service			
3%	Add family services			
2%	Add professional development			
2%	Add social services offered			
2%	Add summer program			
2%	None. I wouldn't make any changes.			
2%	Other			
1%	Add field trips			
1%	Cut or decrease fees			
0%	Add or increased food services offered			
0%	Add holiday and/or weekend service			
Number of respondents: 100 (83%)				

Funding Security for the next 1-5 Years

	Pennsy	ylvania	National	
Number of respondents: 100 (83%)	Not/ Somewhat Secure	Secure/Very Secure	Not / Somewhat Secure	Secure/Very Secure
1-2 Years	62%	38%	53%	47%

T.				
3-5 Years	88%	12%	80%	20%

Methodology: UNCERTAIN TIMES used web-based survey software and the survey was emailed to more than 10,000 contacts in the Afterschool Alliance database in August and September of 2006. Recipients were encouraged to forward the survey to afterschool program staff, and programs were asked to be certain that only one person per program completed the survey and to provide responses from a site-level coordinator when possible. 2,614 responses were reviewed. 503 of them did not provide sufficient data to be included in the final analysis or were duplicate responses; therefore the final sample was 2,111 responses which represent approximately 9,813 afterschool sites serving more than 1.5 million children. (Many respondents are responsible for multiple sites.)

Complete State Level Results Available Upon Request