

21st Century Community Learning Centers Providing Afterschool Supports to Communities Nationwide

The 21st Century Community Learning Centers (21st CCLC) initiative is the only federal funding source dedicated exclusively to afterschool programs. The *No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB)* reauthorized 21st CCLC in 2002, transferring the administration of the grants from the U.S. Department of Education to the State Education Agencies. Each state receives funds based on its share of Title I funding for low-income students. Funds are also allotted to outlying areas and the Bureau of Indian Affairs.

The *No Child Left Behind Act* narrowed the focus of 21st CCLC from a community learning center model, where all members of the community benefited from access to school resources such as teachers, computer labs, gymnasiums and classrooms, to an afterschool program model that provides the following services to students attending high-poverty, low-performing schools:

- Academic enrichment activities that can help students meet state and local achievement standards.
- A broad array of additional services designed to reinforce and complement the regular academic program, such as: drug and violence prevention programs, counseling programs, art, music and recreation programs, technology education programs and character education programs.
- Literacy and related educational development services to the families of children who are served in the program. (U.S. Department of Education, 2003)

Funding History, 21st CCLC		
Fiscal Year	Amount Appropriated	Amount Authorized in No Child Left Behind Act
1998	\$40 million	n/a
1999	\$200 million	n/a
2000	\$453 million	n/a
2001	\$846 million	\$1 billion
2002	\$1 billion	\$1.25 billion
2003	\$993.5 million	\$1.5 billion
2004	\$991 million	\$1.75 billion
2005	\$991 million	\$2 billion
2006	\$981 million	\$2.25 billion
2007	\$981 million	\$2.5 billion
2008	\$1.081 billion	\$2.5 billion
2009	\$1.131 billion	\$2.5 billion
2010	\$1.166 billion	\$2.5 billion

Conversations about the next reauthorization are underway. The Afterschool Alliance has developed a set of recommendations to help ensure the continued effectiveness of the program and to provide adequate supports to local afterschool programs.

Currently, there are 4,165 grants funding afterschool programs serving 1,660,713 children and youth in 10,466 school-based and community-based centers across the country. Other characteristics:

- The average first-year grant size in 2010 was \$323,369.
- On average, there are three centers/sites per grant with an annual budget of \$109,924. The average annual cost per student ranges from \$604 to \$1,249.
- About 3 in 5 grantees are school districts and about 1 in 5 grantees are community-based organizations, yet roughly 9 in 10 centers are located in schools.
- Ninety-four percent of centers are open four or more days per week and 76 percent of centers are open at least 11 hours per week for 29 weeks per year. Centers are open for an average of 14.6 hours per week.
- Academic assistance, enrichment activities and recreational activities are the most common services offered by programs.

2

- Slightly less than half of centers serve elementary school students exclusively and approximately two thirds of all centers serve elementary students in some capacity. About 39 percent of centers serve middle school students, but only 19 percent of these centers exclusively target this population. Finally, 18 percent of centers serve high school students. About 12 percent of centers exclusively target high school students.
- Nearly two-thirds of all attendees qualify for free or reduced-price lunch. In addition, over 16 percent of regular participants have limited English proficiency (LEP), a figure which is greater than the overall percentage of LEP students in the U.S. (11 percent).
- Centers are serving an increasingly diverse group of children. More than 1 in 3 attendees come from a Hispanic/Latino background, while 25 percent of all attendees are African-American.
- The average number of regular attendees per center is 88, while the average number of total attendees per center is 182.
- As centers mature over time they tend to move away from an emphasis on recreation and move toward a greater focus on academic enrichment. Trends indicate that more mature programs, particularly those that primarily focus on academic enrichment, have a higher rate of average regular attendance than centers that are relatively new. More mature academic enrichment programs have an average regular attendance rate of 71 percent while newer programs average a rate of 48 percent.*

(Data courtesy of the U.S. Department of Education and Learning Point Associates 2010and 2007*)

Evaluations and teacher reports have revealed positive results in behavior and achievement for students who regularly attend 21st Century Community Learning Centers.

- Annual performance report data from 21st CCLC grantees across the country demonstrate that regular attendees improve their reading (43 percent) and math grades (49 percent). (Learning Point Associates, 2007)
- Teachers reported that over three quarters of regular 21st CCLC program participants showed improvement in homework completion and class participation, while 72 percent of regular participants showed improvements in student behavior. (Learning Point Associates, 2009)
- 21st CCLC funding has been a major factor in helping to close the socioeconomic gap in afterschool participation. While afterschool participation rates have increased at every level of family income nationwide, lowest income youth have shown the greatest increase in participation. (Harvard Family Research Project, 2006)
- Students who attend 21st CCLC programs more regularly are more likely to improve their grades, test scores and overall academic behavior. More mature 21st CCLC programs have greater rates of regular attendance and therefore are more effective in improving students' academic behavior. (Learning Point Associates, 2007)
- The Promising Afterschool Programs Study, a study of about 3,000 low-income, ethnically-diverse elementary and middle school students, found that those who regularly attended high-quality programs (including programs funded by 21st CCLC) over two years demonstrated gains of up to 20 percentiles and 12 percentiles in standardized math test scores respectively, compared to their peers who were routinely unsupervised during the afterschool hours. (Policy Studies Associates, Inc., 2007)
- Elementary school students attending LA's BEST afterschool program—a program funded in part by 21st CCLC—improved their regular school day attendance and reported higher aspirations regarding finishing school and going to college. Additionally, LA's BEST participants are 20 percent less likely to drop out of school and 30 percent less likely to participate in criminal activities

3

- compared to matched nonparticipants. (UCLA National Center for Research on Evaluation, Standards and Student Testing, June 2000, December 2005 and September 2007)
- Fifty-nine percent of former Citizen Schools 8th Grade Academy participants enrolled in high-quality high schools compared to 28 percent of matched nonparticipants. Former participants of Citizen Schools—a program funded in part by 21st CCLC—were also significantly more likely to graduate from high school in four years than were Boston Public Schools students overall. (Policy Studies Associates, Inc., July 2009)
- Wisconsin teacher-reported improvements in behavior reflect that more than half of all regular 21st CCLC attendees improved in behaving well in class (53 percent), class participation (66 percent), being attentive in class (57 percent) and homework completion (66 percent). (Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction, 2010)

The 21st CCLC initiative has spurred state and local investments in afterschool and helped leverage additional funding to build sustainable afterschool infrastructures.

- The typical 21st CCLC grantee has six partners who contribute to the project by providing services and resources not directly funded by 21st CCLC. These partners include community-based organizations, faith-based organizations, nationally affiliated non-profits such as YMCAs and Boys and Girls Clubs, libraries, museums, health clinics and universities. Contributions from partners include volunteer staff, supplies and materials or services such as evaluation or fundraising assistance. (U.S. Department of Education and Learning Point Associates, 2005)
- 21st CCLC grant money allows programs to leverage and link together funding streams that can lead to sustainable programs. On average, 21st CCLC grantees have two other funding sources. These sources include the local school districts, states, other federal funds, philanthropic and private funding. (U.S. Department of Education and Learning Point Associates, 2005)
- 39 states have started <u>Statewide Afterschool Networks</u> to develop state-level supports and policies to ensure quality and sustainable afterschool programs in their states.
- Highlights of recent state initiatives include:
 - o In **California**, the state reached the budget "trigger" for Proposition 49 funding in 2006, releasing \$428 million in new funding for afterschool programs for elementary and middle school students. This brings California's total afterschool budget to \$550 million, not including the state's allotment for federal 21st CCLC dollars.
 - Massachusetts' After School and Out-of-School-Time grant program (ASOST) has continued to receive support from the state, even in the midst of a difficult budget year and large state deficits. The FY2011 amount allocated for ASOST is \$1.5 million, a cut of 25 percent from FY2010.
 - O Though some program allocations were reduced due to budget concerns in FY2011, **New York** afterschool programs continued to see strong support through a mix of state funding streams, including \$22.5 million for Advantage After-School, \$28.2 million for Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention and \$24.5 million for the Extended Day/Violence Prevention Program.
 - o In **Wyoming**, funding for the Wyoming Bridges program, which funds extended-day interventions and summer school initiatives across the state, was increased from \$9.8 million in FY2010 to \$11.6 million for FY2011, providing additional programs for children throughout the 2010-11 school year and summer.
 - o In **Connecticut**, for FY2009-10, over \$5 million in State Department of Education grant funds were provided each year to local boards of education and community-based

- organizations for afterschool programming. In FY2011, that funding was cut by 10 percent, leaving \$4.5 million in available funding for local afterschool initiatives.
- Beyond spurring additional investments, a cost-benefit analysis conducted by the Rose Institute at Claremont McKenna College found that every dollar invested in afterschool actually saves between three and thirteen dollars in costs ranging from grade retention to future incarceration. Based on the Rose Institute's estimate, the current investment in 21st CCLC saves more than \$3 billion in taxpayer dollars. (*The Costs and Benefits of Afterschool Programs*, Rose Institute, September 2002)

Despite strong and sustained public support—two out of three Americans see afterschool programs as an absolute necessity—funding for 21st Century Community Learning Centers has remained relatively stagnant, leaving a great demand unfulfilled.

- Funding for 21st CCLC is currently \$1.54 billion, which is still less than half of the \$2.5 billion authorized by the *No Child Left Behind Act*.
- Current funding levels do not come close to meeting the nationwide demand:
 - There are 18.5 million children in the United States whose parents would send them to an afterschool program if one were available. (*America After 3PM*, Afterschool Alliance, October 2009)
 - Nearly one-half of afterschool program leaders (47.4 percent) report their budget is inadequate to meet the needs of students and families. (*Uncertain Times: Recession Imperiling Afterschool Programs and the Children they Serve*, Afterschool Alliance 2009)
 - In 2006, 1,247 organizations applied for 21st CCLC afterschool grants. Just 325 of them received funding—a funding rate of only 26 percent. (Learning Point Associates, 2007)
 - The federal government contributes only 11 percent of the cost of afterschool, while 29 percent of children in afterschool meet the federal government's definition of low-income and in need of federal assistance. (*Roadmap to Afterschool for All: Examining Current Investments and Mapping Future Needs*, Afterschool Alliance, May 2009)
 - About 6 in 10 programs report a loss in funding due to the recession, with 1 in 10 reporting a significant loss that will cause cutbacks to the program or cause one or more sites to close. (*Uncertain Times: Recession Imperiling Afterschool Programs and the Children They Serve*, Afterschool Alliance, April 2009)
 - The vast majority of FY2011 dollars will support existing grantees. While most states will be able to make a limited number of new awards, others will struggle to support existing grantees since funding in some states has actually decreased due to changes in the Title I formula.

In these difficult economic times, American families need quality afterschool programs more than ever. In communities today, 15.1 million children take care of themselves after the school day ends. The hours between 3 p.m. and 6 p.m. are the peak hours for juvenile crime and experimentation with drugs, alcohol, cigarettes and sex. The afterschool hours can be a time for trouble or a time for kids to learn new skills, develop relationships with caring adults and prepare for the future. In a country where only one third of young people graduate high school prepared for college, work and citizenship, we can't afford to waste the after school hours.

Federal funding sources such as 21st CCLC are essential to help states and local communities establish support systems that make afterschool programs and the extra learning time they provide an expectation, not an afterthought. The 15.1 million children who are unsupervised after school deserve better.